Mrs. Hall's juvenal was taken 12 miles southwest of Gabela, 17th September 1957. It is fully grown and a few adult feathers are beginning to appear on the crown and back. It is a tribute to Mrs. Hall's discernment that she was able to recognize the subspecific differences on the three crown feathers available.

Comparative wing measurements are:

 hallae
 233
 95, 97

 poliocephala
 433
 92–97 (94.5).

 carruthersi
 833
 84–95 (87.5)

 akeleyae
 533
 96–102 (98.0)

 kungwensis
 13
 96

I would like to thank the British Museum for the loan of the juvenal from Gabela and the specimen of kungwensis.

Is Muscicapa gabela an Akalat?

by B. P. HALL Received 1st October, 1960

A recent exchange with the Chicago Natural History Museum has given the British Museum an example of *Muscicapa gabela* Rand (1957, Fieldiana 39: 41), a species discovered by Heinrich in secondary forest 15km south of Gabela, western Angola. The rich bright brown of the upperparts and the long legs distinguish *gabela* from all African members of *Muscicapa*, as Rand pointed out, and in subsequent correspondence he has said that he is not wholly satisfied that it was correctly ascribed to this genus.

I believe that gabela is not a Flycatcher but an Akalat, and the representative in western Angola of Sheppardia, a genus found sporadically in both lowland and montane forest throughout tropical Africa, apparently frequenting the same sort of tangled undergrowth as that in which gabela was found. Rand tells me that his chief reasons for not discussing gabela in relation to Sheppardia were its "weak, slender feet and 'flycatcher' bill". The British Museum is fortunate in having the rather rare genus Sheppardia well represented, including good series of S. c. cyornithopsis from the Cameroons, and S. sharpei usambarae, and these series show there is considerable variation in both these characters within the genus, and even within populations. While I agree that the legs of gabela are thinner (and also darker) than those of cyornithopsis, they can be matched in strength and size with individuals of usambarae, while the darker colour may be due only to freshness. Similarly in individuals of cyornithopsis the bill is as wide at the base, or even a trifle wider, than that of the one gabela examined, while all forms of Sheppardia have, like gabela, strong, forwardgrowing bristles.

In neither of these characters therefore is gabela generically distinct from the Akalats, nor can I find any other structural difference. In size it is smaller than most *Sheppardia*, with relatively shorter wings, but the measurements overlap those of usambarae, as the Table shows.

Similarly there are no differences in colour or pattern that seem of more than specific importance, for though on the underparts gabela lacks the bright orange-buff on the throat and breast, characteristic of other forms of Sheppardia, traces of an orange wash can be found in the under wing-coverts, on the chin, and in some of the olive feathers of the breast-band and flanks, showing that orange pigmentation is present but greatly

reduced. Indeed usambarae shows a close approach to gabela below, having also an olive breast-band and flanks, but having a dull orange wash on the throat and breast which overlies the olive, making the contrast between the throat and breast less marked than in gabela.

In colour the upper parts of gabela are close to cyornithopsis: the head and mantle are slightly more rufous, less olive, but have the same minute dark edges to the feathers which give a faintly scalloped appearance: the

tail is less rufous, closer to that of usambarae.

Thus while gabela shows significant differences in both structure and colour from Muscicapa, it shows none from Sheppardia, and I recommend that it should be transferred to that genus. It should be considered as a species, Sheppardia gabela (Rand), with affinities to both S. sharpei and S. cvornithopsis.

The specimens have been examined with me by Derek Goodwin, R. E.

Moreau and R. W. Sims, who agree with these conclusions.

TABLE OF MEASUREMENTS

	wi	wing_		bill		tail _		tarsus	
	ਰੰ	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	
cyornithopsis 10♂ 9♀	72–77	67–71	15–16	14.5–16	51–56	45–48	23–26	22-24	
usambarae 5♂ 3♀	67–74	65	14–15	14–15	52–55	46–48	22–23	20–21	
gabela 33 29 (from Rand)	66–67	61–62	14-15	14	50–54	46–48	21	20–21	

Note on the relations of the species of Wagtails

by J. M. WINTERBOTTOM

Received 1st November, 1960

This note is prompted mainly by Irwin's interesting paper (1960). The genus Motacilla dates back to the Oligocene and present-day species fall into several groups. In the first, the tail is about the same length as the wing and the plumage is predominantly black and white. The number of species in this group is open to dispute, but Vaurie (1959) puts the Palaearctic forms into three species, alba, grandis and madaraspatensis, and considers the Ethiopian aguimp as conspecific with the first of these. Voous (1959) is more doubtful about this last and I would personally keep them separate. Be that as it may, it is relevant to notice that the Palaearctic forms of alba number eleven, whereas the Ethiopian forms number only two and madaraspatensis, which is really a tropical species, only just entering the Palaearctic, has no subspecies.

The second group is characterised, in most forms, by having the tail decidedly longer than the wing and by its preference for clear, running, rocky streams. There are two species, the Palaearctic cinerea and Ethiopian clara, sometimes united. The Palaearctic form is further distinguished by its yellow underparts; and it may be noted that in one Palaearctic subspecies, M. c. robusta, the tail is shorter than the wing. There are five

subspecies of the Palaearctic *cinerea* and only three of *clara*.

The third group is characterised by having the tail decidedly shorter than the wing and a strong development of yellow. It consists of two